OPINION 1186
TANYSTROPHEUS H. VON MEYER, [1852] (REPTILIA)
CONSERVED

RULING.-(1) Under the plenary powers, the generic name Macroscelosaurus H. von Meyer, [1852], is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Law of Priority but not for those of the Law of Homonymy.

(2) The generic name Tanystropheus H. von Meyer, [1852] (gender: masculine), type species, by monotypy, Tanystropheus conspicuus H. von Meyer, [1852], is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2129.

(3) The specific name conspicuus H. von Meyer, [1852], as published in the binomen Tanystropheus conspicuus (specific name of type species of Tanystropheus H. von Meyer, [1852], is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2756.

(4) The generic name Macroscelosaurus H. von Meyer, [1852], as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) above, is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2118.

HISTORY OF THE CASE Z.N.(S.)2084

An application for the suppression of the generic name Macroscelosaurus H. von Meyer, [1852], was first received from Dr Rupert Wild (Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde, Stuttgart, BRD) on 9 July 1974. It was sent to the printer on 19 November 1974 and published on 27 June 1975 in Bull. zool. Nom. vol. 32, pp. 124–126. Notice of the possible use of the plenary powers in the case was given in the same part of the Bulletin as well as to the statutory serials and to two herpetological serials. As a result of criticisms, a revised paper was published in vol. 33, pp. 124–126. Public notice of the possible use of the plenary powers in the case was given in the same part of the Bulletin as well as to the statutory serials, to ten general serials and two herpetological serials. The application was supported by Dr G.E. Gow (University of Witwatersrand, RSA). No adverse comment was received.

DECISION OF THE COMMISSION

On 5 September 1980 the members of the Commission were invited to vote under the Three-Month Rule on Voting Paper (1980) 23 for or against the proposals set out in Bull. zool. Nom. vol. 33, p. 125. At the close of the voting period on 5 December 1980 the state of the voting was as follows:
Affirmative Votes — nineteen (19), received in the following order: Melville, Mroczkowski, Willink, Brinck, Starobogatov, Trjapitzin, Lehtinen, Hahn, Tortonese, Corliss, Habe, Ride, Welch, Alvarado, Sabrosky, Heppell, Halvorsen, Bayer, Nye

Negative Votes — Holthuis, Cogger

Dupuis abstained from voting. Vokes was on leave of absence. No votes were returned by Bernardi, Binder and Kraus.

The following comments were returned by members of the Commission with their voting papers:

Holthuis: ‘Article 24a nowhere says that the two synonyms have to be considered valid by their author(s). Under this Article, Macroscelosaurus must be considered a junior objective synonym of Tanystropheus.’

Dupuis: ‘Je m'abstiens, car je soupçonne qu'une recherche historique sérieuse concernant le nom Macroscelosaurus n'a été poursuivie ni par le demandeur (R. Wild) ni par les auteurs dont il cite une supposition en passant dans un Traité (Peyer in Kuhn-Schnyder) alors qu'un nom aussi long et compliqué ne peut pas être une pure invention de H. von Meyer.’

Cogger: ‘The single treatment of Macroscelosaurus as an available name “with its original date and authorship” (Article 11d) is apparently that of Kuhn, 1934, but the applicant is unable to verify that Kuhn's attribution is correct, or that Münster’s Macroscelosaurus was ever published prior to its citation in synonymy by H. von Meyer, 1852. Consequently Macroscelosaurus Münster, 1834 cannot be shown to have been published and is not available under either of the provisions of Article 11d. Further, the name Macroscelosaurus H. von Meyer, [1852] is not available under Article 11d, and its suppression using the plenary powers would not only seem to be unnecessary, but would leave the basic problem unresolved if any worker was to locate the “missing” reference to Macroscelosaurus Münster, 1834, which, having been used as an available name by Kuhn, would presumably become available as a senior synonym of Tanystropheus H. von Meyer, [1852]. Although I oppose only parts 5a and 5d of the application, it seems appropriate to vote against the application as a whole.’

ORIGINAL REFERENCES

The following are the original references for names placed on Official Lists and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion:


CERTIFICATE
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